Appendix C

Funding, Forecasting, & Fiscal Constraint

C.1. What is fiscal constraint?

Fiscal constraint is the mandatory federal requirement that a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) must demonstrate that all projects in its Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) can be implemented with "reasonably available" funding sources. This means that the total cost of all projects in the plan cannot exceed the projected revenues from federal, state, and local sources over the life of the plan (typically 20+ years).

Fiscal constraint is important because it ensures that transportation plans are realistic and achievable. Without it, ROCOG could create "wish lists" of projects that are not financially viable, leading to the over-promising of infrastructure improvements that can't be delivered. This may result in decreased project implementation and a loss of ROCOG credibility to the public, policymakers, and funding partners.

The process of applying fiscal constraint teaches several key lessons for transportation planners and the communities they serve, including:

- Importance of project prioritization.
- Need for system preservation over capital costs.
- Need for additional, or innovative, financing sources.
- Communication and messaging about the area's transportation system needs.

The act of performing fiscal constraint can be summarized into a four-step process.



Revenue forecasting:

ROCOG, in cooperation with the Minnesota Department of Transportation and all federally eligible local agencies and Rochester Public Transit (RPT), projects future revenue. Projections are typically based on historical trends and reasonable assumptions about inflation and economic growth.

Cost estimation:

With the assistance of the transportation agencies, ROCOG estimates the total cost of all proposed projects. Projects are inflated to the final year of the timeframe in which the project is expected to occur. This is considered the year-of-expenditure estimate.

Project prioritization:

Once the costs and revenues are estimated, ROCOG compares them. If the total cost of all desired projects exceeds the available revenue, a funding gap exists.

Demonstration and documentation:

ROCOG documents this financial analysis in a detailed report — this appendix. This documentation is reviewed by both the FHWA and the FTA to ensure compliance with federal law.

C.2. Forecasting revenues

ROCOG utilized three techniques to determine future revenues. These techniques focus on past spending to determine future revenues.

For the cities of Rochester, Stewartville and Byron, ROCOG gathered historical transportation spending data from 2020 through 2024 from the Minnesota Office of the State Auditor

(OSA). The auditor's information is presented as either operations and maintenance expenditures or capital (outlay) expenditures.

- Operations and maintenance: costs associated with the maintenance and repair of local highways, streets, bridges, and street equipment. Common expenditures include patching, seal coating, street lighting, street cleaning, and snow removal.
- Capital (outlay): budgeted expenditures for road and bridge construction projects, including major rehabilitation and improvement projects for previously constructed (existing) roads and bridges.

The spending for the five years was averaged to determine the typical or assumed 2025 base year spending.

For Olmsted County, construction spending from 2020-2024 was obtained from Olmsted County Public Works. This information was then averaged to determine the typical or assumed 2025 base year spending.

Tables 1-4 Historical Spending for Cities and Olmsted County

Source: Base information from the OSA and Olmsted County Public Works

Table 1: Rochester

| Year | Operations and Maintenance (O&M) | Capital |
|-------|--|--------------|
| 2020 | \$15,987,741 | \$7,500,000 |
| 2021 | \$15,851,769 | \$7,200,000 |
| 2022 | \$16,888,470 | \$15,993,948 |
| 2023 | \$17,927,197 | \$13,680,140 |
| 2024 | \$18,983,674 | \$11,558,779 |
| Total | \$85,638,851 | \$55,932,867 |
| | \$17,127,770 | \$11,186,573 |
| | Annual Average | \$28,314,344 |

Table 3: Byron

| Year | Operations and Maintenance (O&M) | Capital |
|-------|--|-------------|
| 2020 | \$1,214,614 | \$1,563,747 |
| 2021 | \$713,417 | \$1,563,747 |
| 2022 | \$754,863 | \$1,563,747 |
| 2023 | \$766,569 | \$1,792,993 |
| 2024 | \$882,240 | \$1,334,500 |
| Total | \$4,331,703 | \$7,818,734 |
| | \$866,341 | \$1,563,747 |
| | Annual Average | \$2,430,087 |

Table 2: Stewartville

| Year | Operations and Maintenance (O&M) | Capital |
|-------|--|------------------|
| 2020 | \$738,052 | \$150,000 |
| 2021 | \$764,936 | \$150,000 |
| 2022 | \$800,165 | \$150,000 |
| 2023 | \$752,113 | \$150,000 |
| 2024 | \$661,238 | \$150,000 |
| Total | \$3,716,504 | \$750,000 |
| | \$743,301 | \$150,000 |
| | Annual Average | \$893,301 |

Table 4: Olmsted County

| Year | Construction |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 2020 | \$25,627,419 |
| 2021 | \$27,848,443 |
| 2022 | \$26,013,335 |
| 2023 | \$35,265,381 |
| 2024 | \$31,086,895 |
| Total | \$145,841,473 |
| Annual Average | \$29,168,295 |

To determine historical spending for MnDOT District 6 (within Olmsted County) and Rochester Public Transit (RPT), the last five years of spending identified in the ROCOG TIP was used. This meant recording the first year (or current year) of each of the last five TIPs then averaging them to determine the typical, or assumed, 2025 base year spending (Tables 5 and 6).

Table 5: Rochester Public Transit

| Year | Operations and Maintenance (O&M) | Capital |
|-------|--|--------------|
| 2020 | | |
| 2021 | \$11,700,000 | \$2,019,756 |
| 2022 | \$12,845,000 | \$400,000 |
| 2023 | \$14,180,000 | \$2,020,000 |
| 2024 | \$12,715,000 | \$628,060 |
| 2025 | \$14,850,000 | \$79,826,414 |
| Total | \$66,290,000 | \$84,894,230 |
| | \$13,258,000 | \$16,978,846 |
| | Annual Average | \$30,236,846 |

Table 6: MnDOT District 6

| Year | Operations and Maintenance (O&M) | Capital |
|-------|--|-------------|
| 2020 | \$1,139,229 | \$1,277,000 |
| 2021 | \$109,000 | \$197,267 |
| 2022 | \$620,000 | \$339,700 |
| 2023 | \$1,570,000 | \$784,000 |
| 2024 | \$11,861,440 | \$345,000 |
| Total | \$14,160,440 | \$1,665,967 |
| | \$2,832,088 | \$333,193 |
| | Annual Average | \$3,165,281 |

The next step is to determine an agency's expected revenue out to the MTP 2050 planning horizon. With an estimate of the 2025 revenue (base year), staff then applied a year-over-year revenue increase of 3.1% to the base revenue to reflect regional growth and development along with rising revenues. Revenue data was then separated into time bands: Short Term (2025-2029); Mid Term (2030-2039); and Long Term (2040-2050). These forecast revenues are allocated by the six partner agencies, organized into the timeframes, and total anticipated funding is added (Tables 7-13).

Table 7: Rochester Projected Revenue

Source: Base information from the OSA, ROCOG calculations.

| | Base | Short Term (2026-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Operations and Maintenance (O&M) | \$17,127,770 | \$96,852,356 | \$244,255,231 | \$331,459,542 | \$672,567,129 |
| Capital | \$11,186,573 | \$63,256,686 | \$159,529,176 | \$216,484,484 | \$439,270,347 |
| Total | \$28,314,344 | \$160,109,042 | \$403,784,407 | \$547,944,027 | \$1,111,837,476 |

Table 8: Stewartville Projected Revenue

Source: Base information from the OSA, ROCOG calculations.

| | Base | Short Term (2026-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Operations and Maintenance (O&M) | \$743,301 | \$4,125,093 | \$10,403,213 | \$14,117,381 | \$28,645,687 |
| Capital | \$150,000 | \$848,205 | \$2,139,116 | \$2,902,826 | \$5,890,146 |
| Total | \$893,301 | \$4,973,298 | \$12,542,329 | \$17,020,206 | \$34,535,833 |



Table 9: Byron Projected Revenue

Source: Base information from the OSA, ROCOG calculations.

| | Base | Short Term (2026-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Operations and Maintenance (O&M) | \$866,341 | \$4,898,894 | \$12,354,686 | \$16,765,572 | \$34,019,152 |
| Capital | \$1,563,747 | \$8,842,515 | \$22,300,237 | \$30,261,896 | \$61,404,648 |
| Total | \$2,430,087 | \$13,741,409 | \$34,654,923 | \$47,027,468 | \$95,423,799 |

Table 10: Olmsted County Projected Revenue

Source: Base information from Olmsted County, ROCOG calculations.

| | Base | Short Term (2026-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2040-2050) | Total |
|------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| All Construction | \$29,168,295 | \$159,978,541 | \$403,455,294 | \$547,497,412 | \$1,110,931,247 |

Table 11: RPT Projected Revenue

Source: Base information from the OSA, ROCOG calculations.

| | Base | Short Term (2026-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Operations and Maintenance (O&M) | \$13,258,000 | \$72,715,787 | \$183,384,403 | \$248,856,534 | \$504,956,724 |
| Capital | \$16,978,846 | \$166,123,409 | \$234,851,074 | \$318,697,901 | \$719,672,383 |
| Total | \$30,236,846 | \$238,839,196 | \$418,235,476 | \$567,554,435 | \$1,224,629,107 |

Table 12: MnDOT District 6 Projected Revenue

Source: Base information from the OSA, ROCOG calculations.

| | Base | Short Term (2026-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Operations and Maintenance (O&M) | \$3,239,994 | \$17,770,308 | \$44,815,541 | \$60,815,642 | \$123,401,490 |
| Capital | \$396,949 | \$2,177,135 | \$5,490,591 | \$7,450,849 | \$15,118,575 |
| Total | \$3,636,943 | \$19,947,443 | \$50,306,132 | \$68,266,490 | \$138,520,065 |



Table 13: Transportation Revenue Forecast Summary by Agency

Source: Roadway base information from the OSA, Olmsted County / ROCOG calculations. Olmsted County revenue projection provided by Olmsted County Public Works, Olmsted County/ROCOG calculations. Transit based on last 5 years of expenditures, Olmsted County/ROCOG calculations.

| | Base | Short Term (2025-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| MnDOT (Within ROCOG area) | \$3,636,943 | \$19,947,443 | \$50,306,132 | \$68,266,490 | \$138,520,065 |
| Olmsted County | \$29,168,295 | \$159,978,541 | \$403,455,294 | \$547,497,412 | \$1,110,931,247 |
| Rochester | \$28,314,344 | \$160,109,042 | \$403,784,407 | \$547,944,027 | \$1,111,837,476 |
| Byron | \$2,430,087 | \$13,741,409 | \$34,654,923 | \$47,027,468 | \$95,423,799 |
| Stewartville | \$843,301 | \$4,973,298 | \$12,542,329 | \$17,020,206 | \$34,535,833 |
| Roadway Total | \$64,442,970 | \$358,749,733 | \$904,743,084 | \$1,227,755,603 | \$2,491,248,420 |
| Rochester Public Transit (RPT) | \$30,236,846 | \$238,839,196 | \$418,235,476 | \$567,554,435 | \$1,224,629,107 |
| Total Investment | \$94,679,816 | \$597,588,929 | \$1,322,978,561 | \$1,795,310,038 | \$3,715,877,527 |

C.3. 25-year project list

Working with local and state agencies, elected officials, and the public, the ROCOG team developed a list of regionally significant projects for MTP 2050. This list started with a small collection of uncompleted projects from the 2045 LRTP and was expanded to include new projects.

Based on public input, the local agencies prioritized the projects and assigned them to a timeline (Tables 14-20).

- The highest priority projects are scheduled for the first five years of the MTP's planning horizon. These projects are likely already included in ROCOG's TIP or a local agency's Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).
- The second group of projects are scheduled for years 6 to 15 (2031-2040).
- The final projects are scheduled for years 16 to 25 (2041-2050).

Planning-level cost estimates were produced by partner jurisdictions and developed based upon the type of improvement, project length, unit costs (specific to each jurisdiction), and facility type. Additional factors that have the potential to increase planning-level costs beyond typical assumptions were also taken into account. These include the added multimodal infrastructure such as sidewalks and crossings, bike lanes, safety improvements, and estimated topographical challenges that could increase construction costs.

Estimated project costs were updated to a realistic cost based

upon the anticipated year of expenditure (YOE). The YOE costs were estimated at the end point of each respective timeframe with an applied annual inflation rate of 3.1 percent. This provides a clearer picture of potential future project costs as labor and materials will inevitably continue to increase. This inflation rate was used for all applicable projects.

Table 14: Rochester 25-Year Project List

| Corridor | Lead Agency | Description | 2025 Estimated Construction Cost | Time Phase | Timeline Cost |
|--------------------------------|----------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| North Broadway | Rochester | Reconstruct from 14th St to Elton Hills Dr | \$13,640,000 | Programmed | \$16,381,979 |
| CR 147 | Rochester | Reconstruct CR 147 as urban arterial from 40 ST SW to CSAH 125 | \$15,850,000 | Programmed | \$19,036,244 |
| 19 ST NW | Rochester | Reconstruct 2 lane township road to urban arterial from Ashland Dr to 60 Ave NW | \$7,700,000 | Programmed | \$9,247,891 |
| 50 Ave NW | Rochester | Construct new urban arterial from CSAH 4 to 19 ST NW | \$12,000,000 | Programmed | \$14,412,298 |
| East River Road | Rochester | Reconstruct existing two lane township road to urban industrial collector from 44 ST NE to CSAH 22 | \$6,700,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$14,818,359 |
| Silver Creek Rd NE | Rochester | Reconstruct existing township gravel road to two lane urban collector from CSAH 22 East to approx. 40 Ave NE | \$8,800,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$19,462,919 |
| Rochester Technology Campus | Rochester | Construct / Upgrade new urban arterial/ collector along north side IBM Campus to connect 37th ST NW and Valleyhigh DR NW | \$14,000,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$30,963,734 |
| 55th St NW | Rochester | Construct new roadway from 60th Ave NW to CSAH 3 | \$4,000,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$6,519,265 |
| Willow Creek Trail | Rochester | Regional trail connection from 28th St SW to Gamehaven Park | \$5,500,000 | Programmed | \$6,605,637 |
| 6th Street Bridge | Rochester | Construction of new bridge over Zumbro River at 6th St SE | \$29,000,000 | Programmed | \$34,829,721 |
| Broadway Avenue South | Rochester | Reconstruction from 9th Street SE to Civic Center Drive NW | \$25,000,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$55,292,383 |

| Corridor | Lead Agency | Description | 2025 Estimated Construction Cost | Time Phase | Timeline Cost |
|--|------------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 40th St SW | Rochester | 40th Street Extension (Willow Creek Study) | \$30,000,000 | Programmed | \$36,030,745 |
| Trail | Rochester | Douglas Trail: Construct grade separation at 60th Ave NW and 65th St NW | \$3,000,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$6,635,086 |
| Civic Center Drive NE | Rochester | Civic Center Drive from 14/52 Interchange to North Broadway | \$25,000,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$40,745,406 |
| 3rd Avenue SE | Rochester | 3rd Avenue SE from 3rd Ave Bridge to 9th Street SE | \$14,000,000 | Programmed | \$16,814,348 |
| 65th Street NW | Rochester | Intersection and Corridor improvements between 37th Ave NW to Bandel Road NW | \$5,000,000 | Programmed | \$6,005,124 |
| CSAH 22 / Bandel Rd Intersection | Olmsted / Rochester | Relocate East Frontage Rd intersection east approximately 800' to improve interchange operations (50-50 cost share). | \$8,900,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$19,684,088 |
| 37th St /CSAH 22 | Olmsted / Rochester | Reconstruct intersection of 37th St NW / CSAH 22 / CSAH 33 / Broadway Ave (50-50 cost share). | \$4,100,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$6,682,247 |

Table 15: Stewartville 25-Year Project List

| Corridor | Lead Agency | Description | 2025 Estimated Construction Cost | Time Phase | Timeline Cost |
|------------------------------|----------------|--|--|----------------|---------------|
| 15th Ave NE | Stewartville | Reconstruct current township gravel road to two lane urban arterial standard | \$3,000,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$4,889,449 |
| 2nd Ave NE | Stewartville | Construct small urban collector on new alignment from Luella PI to intersection of TH 63 and Schumann Dr | \$2,500,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$4,074,541 |
| Trail | Stewartville | Blue Stem Trail | \$4,500,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$9,952,629 |
| Schumann Drive Roundabout | Stewartville | US 63 and Schumann Drive Roundabout | \$4,000,000 | Programmed | \$4,804,099 |
| 20th St NW | Stewartville | 20th St NW from Petersen Dr NW to US 63 | \$3,000,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$6,635,086 |

Table 16: Byron 25-Year Project List

| Corridor | Lead Agency | Description | 2025 Estimated Construction Cost | Time Phase | Timeline Cost |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|---------------|---------------|
| 7th St NE | Byron | Reconstruction to a 2 lane minor arterial standard | \$6,000,000 | Programmed | \$7,206,149 |
| Country Club Rd | Byron | Construction new minor arterial to complete connection of Country Club Rd from CSAH 5 to CSAH 34 (Separate project from TH14 / CSAH 5) | \$2,500,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$4,074,541 |
| Trail | Byron | Byron to Oxbow County Park | \$1,500,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$2,444,724 |

Table 17: Olmsted County 25-Year Project List

| Corridor | Lead Agency | Description | 2025 Estimated Construction Cost | Time Phase | Timeline Cost |
|---------------------|----------------|--|--|----------------|---------------|
| CSAH 44 | Olmsted | Construct grade separation at US 14 and County Rd 44 & reconstruct CSAH 44 from 19 ST NW to CSAH 4 | \$85,000,000 | Programmed | \$102,087,112 |
| CSAH 34 | Olmsted | Reconstruction from CSAH 22 to CSAH 44 | \$6,600,000 | Programmed | \$7,926,764 |
| CR 124/ 48 ST NE | Olmsted | Reconstruct Gravel Rd to 2 lane suburban arterial from Hadley Valley Rd (CR124) to CSAH 11 | \$9,000,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$14,668,346 |
| CSAH 8 | Olmsted | Reconstruction CSAH 8 to adjust curves and extend 4 lanes if needed (dependent on future development) from CR125 (Bamber Valley School) to 40 ST SW | \$7,020,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$15,526,101 |
| 48th ST NE (CR 124) | Olmsted | Extend 4 lane section from CSAH 33 through Hadley Valley Rd intersection | \$4,930,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$10,903,658 |
| CR 117 | Olmsted | Reconstruct 2 lane County Road to suburban arterial standard from 60 Ave SW to CSAH 8 | \$6,000,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$9,778,898 |
| CSAH 44 | Olmsted | NW Bypass - Build 2 lanes of ultimate 4 lane expressway from 55 ST NW to TH 52 | \$10,200,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$16,624,126 |
| CSAH 3/TH 14 | Olmsted | Construct interchange | \$33,000,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$53,783,936 |
| CSAH 5/TH 14 | Olmsted | Construct interchange | \$57,000,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$92,899,526 |
| CSAH 44 | Olmsted | Willow Creek Connection CSAH 25-TH 63 (SW Beltway from Willow Creek Study) | \$50,000,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$110,584,766 |

| Corridor | Lead Agency | Description | 2025 Estimated Construction Cost | Time Phase | Timeline Cost |
|--|------------------------|---|--|----------------|---------------|
| CSAH 1 | Olmsted | CSAH 1 realignment | \$6,900,000 | Programmed | \$8,287,071 |
| US 63 | Olmsted | CSAH 12, US 63 and MN 247 roundabout | \$4,100,000 | Programmed | \$4,924,202 |
| CSAH 1 | Olmsted | CSAH 1 from TH30 to 97th Street | \$8,600,000 | Programmed | \$10,328,814 |
| CSAH 34 | Olmsted | CSAH 34 from CSAH 3 to CSAH 44 | \$6,000,000 | Programmed | \$7,206,149 |
| Trail | Olmsted | Stage Coach Trail Extension between West Olmsted County line to Rochester | \$10,000,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$22,116,953 |
| Trail | Olmsted | Chester Woods Trail: Connections (50th Ave SE & CSAH 11) | \$1,500,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$2,444,724 |
| Trail | Olmsted | Chester Woods Trail: Chester Woods Park to Eyota | \$3,500,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$7,740,934 |
| Trail | Olmsted | Chester Woods Trail: (Whitewater County Trail) Eyota to Dover | \$6,500,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$14,376,020 |
| Trail | Olmsted | Greater River Trail: South end to Eyota | \$3,500,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$7,740,934 |
| CSAH 22 / Bandel Rd Intersection | Olmsted / Rochester | Relocate East Frontage Rd intersection east approximately 800' to improve interchange operations (50-50 cost share) | \$8,900,000 | 16 to 25 Years | \$19,684,088 |
| 37th St /CSAH 22 | Olmsted / Rochester | Reconstruct intersection of 37th St NW / CSAH 22 / CSAH 33 / Broadway Ave (50-50 cost share) | \$4,100,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$6,682,247 |



Table 18: MnDOT District 6 25-Year Project List

| Corridor | Lead Agency | Description | 2025 Estimated Construction Cost | Time Phase | Timeline Cost |
|----------|----------------|---|--|---------------|---------------|
| US 14 | MnDOT | Resurface Hwy 14 from Hwy 52 to Olmsted County Rd 36 | \$3,600,000 | Programmed | \$4,323,689 |
| US 14 | MnDOT | Resurface Hwy 14 from East of Dodge County Rd 9 to West of Olmsted County Rd 5 | \$1,900,000 | Programmed | \$2,281,947 |
| MN 30 | MnDOT | Resurface Hwy 30 from 0.42 miles east of Hwy 63 to 0.22 miles west of Hwy 52 | \$7,400,000 | Programmed | \$8,887,584 |
| US 63 | MnDOT | Roundabout on US 63 at County Road 112 | \$4,000,000 | Programmed | \$4,804,099 |
| I 90 | MnDOT | Replace I-90 bridges over Hwy 52 and Reconstruct Interchange Ramps | \$26,800,000 | Programmed | \$32,187,466 |
| RR | MnDOT | DME: Antiquated Signal System Replacement | \$400,000 | Programmed | \$480,410 |
| US 14 | MnDOT | Reconstruction of US 14 and South Broadway in Rochester | \$15,900,000 | Programmed | \$19,096,295 |
| MN 30 | MnDOT | Mill and overlay, grading, ADA and traffic signal from US 63 to 0.03 mi east of 5th Ave NE (Stewartville) | \$2,500,000 | Programmed | \$3,002,562 |
| US 52 | MnDOT | Construction of Frontage Rd, US 52 south of Pine Island | \$3,400,000 | Programmed | \$4,083,484 |
| US 52 | MnDOT | Concrete repaving southbound Hwy 52 from Olmsted County Rd 12 to south junction of Hwy 60 and replace one box culvert | \$11,000,000 | Programmed | \$13,211,273 |
| US 14 | MnDOT | Resurface WB Hwy 14 from Byron to Rochester | \$4,700,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$7,660,136 |

| Corridor | Lead Agency | Description | 2025 Estimated Construction Cost | Time Phase | Timeline Cost |
|----------|----------------|---|--|----------------|---------------|
| US 14 | MnDOT | Resurface EB Hwy 14 from Byron to Rochester | \$4,700,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$7,660,136 |
| MN 74 | MnDOT | Resurface Hwy 74 from Hwy 52 to east Hwy 14 | \$8,300,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$13,527,475 |
| I 90 | MnDOT | Resurface I-90 from Hwy 63 to Olmsted County Rd 19 | \$14,900,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$24,284,262 |
| US 52 | MnDOT | Resurface Hwy 52 from Hwy 80 (Chatfield) to Fillmore County Road 5 | \$5,000,000 | Programmed | \$6,005,124 |
| MN 247 | MnDOT | Resurface MN 247 from Hwy 63 to Hwy 42 | \$6,300,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$10,267,842 |
| US 63 | MnDOT | Repair Hwy 63 bridge over the Root River in Stewartville | \$1,000,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$1,629,816 |
| US 63 | MnDOT | Resurface Hwy 63 from the west junction with Hwy 16 to the Root River in Stewartville | \$5,600,000 | 6 to 15 Years | \$9,126,971 |
| NA | MnDOT | MnDOT Year 16 | \$2,769,943 | 16 to 25 Years | \$6,126,270 |
| NA | MnDOT | MnDOT Year 17 | \$2,769,943 | 16 to 25 Years | \$6,126,270 |
| NA | MnDOT | MnDOT Year 18 | \$2,769,943 | 16 to 25 Years | \$6,126,270 |
| NA | MnDOT | MnDOT Year 19 | \$2,769,943 | 16 to 25 Years | \$6,126,270 |
| NA | MnDOT | MnDOT Year 20 | \$2,769,943 | 16 to 25 Years | \$6,126,270 |
| NA | MnDOT | MnDOT Year 21 | \$2,769,943 | 16 to 25 Years | \$6,126,270 |
| NA | MnDOT | MnDOT Year 22 | \$2,769,943 | 16 to 25 Years | \$6,126,270 |
| NA | MnDOT | MnDOT Year 23 | \$2,769,943 | 16 to 25 Years | \$6,126,270 |
| NA | MnDOT | MnDOT Year 24 | \$2,769,943 | 16 to 25 Years | \$6,126,270 |
| NA | MnDOT | MnDOT Year 25 | \$2,769,943 | 16 to 25 Years | \$6,126,270 |



Table 19: RPT 25-Year Project List

| Corridor | Lead Agency | Description | 2025 Estimated Construction Cost | Time Phase | Timeline Cost |
|----------|----------------|------------------------------|--|------------|---------------|
| Transit | RPT | North Broadway Park and Ride | \$12,000,000 | Programmed | \$14,412,298 |
| Transit | RPT | Bus Rapid Transit | \$165,000,000 | Programmed | \$198,169,100 |

Table 20: All Agency 25-Year Project List Total Cost

| | Short Term (2025-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Rochester | \$159,363,987 | \$53,946,918 | \$146,856,569 | \$360,167,473 |
| Stewartville | \$4,804,099 | \$8,963,989 | \$16,587,715 | \$30,355,804 |
| Byron | \$7,206,149 | \$6,519,265 | \$0 | \$13,725,414 |
| Olmsted County | \$140,760,112 | \$193,540,680 | \$198,831,409 | \$533,132,201 |
| MnDOT | \$98,363,935 | \$74,156,640 | \$61,262,699 | \$233,783,274 |
| RPT | \$212,581,398 | \$0 | \$0 | \$212,581,398 |
| Total | \$623,079,679 | \$337,127,492 | \$423,538,392 | \$1,383,745,564 |

C.4. Fiscal constraint analysis

The core of the fiscal constraint process is a detailed financial plan that compares costs and revenues. After projecting both costs and revenues for the MTP's 25-year timeframe, the final stage is to perform the key calculation:

Total Anticipated Revenue – Total Estimated Project Costs = Remaining Balance (Surplus or Deficit)

The goal is to have a zero, or positive, balance. If a deficit is projected, the MPO must make difficult decisions to bring the plan into balance. This may involve delaying or removing less critical projects from the MTP or scaling back projects to reduce their cost.

This balancing act ensures that the MTP is a realistic and implementable document, rather than a wish list of projects that can't be funded. The demonstration of fiscal constraint is a mandatory federal requirement, and without it, the MTP cannot be approved.

Table 21: Rochester Fiscal Constraint Summary

Source: Base information from the OSA, Olmsted County/ROCOG calculations.

| | Short Term (2025-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Revenue | \$160,109,042 | \$403,784,407 | \$547,944,027 | \$1,111,837,476 |
| Expected Projects | \$159,363,987 | \$53,946,918 | \$146,856,569 | \$360,167,473 |
| Difference | \$745,055 | \$349,837,489 | \$401,087,458 | \$751,670,003 |
| Annual Difference | \$149,011 | \$34,983,749 | \$40,108,746 | \$30,066,800 |

Table 22: Stewartville Fiscal Constraint Summary

Source: Base information from the OSA, Olmsted County/ROCOG calculations.

| | Short Term (2025-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Revenue | \$4,973,298 | \$12,542,329 | \$17,020,206 | \$34,535,833 |
| Expected Projects | \$4,804,099 | \$8,963,989 | \$16,587,715 | \$30,355,804 |
| Difference | \$169,199 | \$3,578,340 | \$432,491 | \$4,180,029 |
| Annual Difference | \$33,840 | \$357,834 | \$43,249 | \$167,201 |

Table 23: Byron Fiscal Constraint Summary

Source: Base information from the OSA, Olmsted County/ROCOG calculations.

| | Short Term (2025-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Revenue | \$13,741,409 | \$34,654,923 | \$47,027,468 | \$95,423,799 |
| Expected Projects | \$7,206,149 | \$6,519,265 | \$0 | \$13,725,414 |
| Difference | \$6,535,260 | \$28,135,658 | \$47,027,468 | \$81,698,385 |
| Annual Difference | \$1,307,052 | \$2,813,566 | \$4,702,747 | \$3,267,935 |

Table 24: Olmsted County Fiscal Constraint Summary

Source: Base information from Olmsted County, Olmsted County/ROCOG calculations.

| | Short Term (2025-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Revenue | \$159,978,541 | \$403,455,294 | \$547,497,412 | \$1,110,931,247 |
| Expected Projects | \$140,760,112 | \$193,540,680 | \$198,831,409 | \$533,132,201 |
| Difference | \$19,218,429 | \$209,914,614 | \$348,666,003 | \$577,799,046 |
| Annual Difference | \$3,843,686 | \$20,991,461 | \$34,866,600 | \$23,111,962 |

Table 25: MnDOT Fiscal Constraint Summary

Source: Base information from past TIPs, Olmsted County/ROCOG calculations.

| | Short Term (2025-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Revenue (State funds only) | \$19,947,443 | \$50,306,132 | \$68,266,490 | \$138,520,065 |
| Expected Project Costs (total) | \$98,363,935 | \$74,156,640 | \$61,262,699 | \$233,783,274 |
| Expected Cost (State's 20% share) | \$19,672,787 | \$14,831,328 | \$12,252,540 | \$46,756,655 |
| Difference | \$274,656 | \$35,474,804 | \$56,013,950 | \$91,763,410 |
| Annual Difference | \$54,931 | \$3,547,480 | \$5,601,395 | \$3,670,536 |

Table 26: RPT Fiscal Constraint Summary

Source: Base information from past TIPs, Olmsted County/ROCOG calculations.*Includes DMC funds for BRT.

| | Short Term (2025-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Revenue | * \$238,839,195 | \$418,235,476 | \$567,554,435 | \$1,224,629,107 |
| Expected Projects | \$212,581,398 | \$0 | \$0 | \$212,581,398 |
| Difference | \$26,257,798 | \$418,235,476 | \$567,554,435 | \$1,012,047,709 |
| Annual Difference | \$5,251,560 | \$41,823,548 | \$56,755,443 | \$40,481,908 |

Table 27: All Agency Fiscal Constraint Summary

Source: Olmsted County/ROCOG calculations.

| | Short Term (2025-2030) | Mid Term (2031-2040) | Long Term (2041-2050) | Total |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| MnDOT | \$274,656 | \$35,474,804 | \$56,013,950 | \$91,763,410 |
| Olmsted County | \$19,218,429 | \$209,914,614 | \$348,666,003 | \$577,799,046 |
| Rochester | \$745,055 | \$349,837,489 | \$401,087,458 | \$751,670,002 |
| Byron | \$6,535,260 | \$28,135,658 | \$47,027,468 | \$81,698,385 |
| Stewartville | \$169,199 | \$3,578,339 | \$432,491 | \$4,180,029 |
| RPT | \$26,257,798 | \$418,235,476 | \$567,554,435 | \$1,012,047,709 |

C.5. Conclusion

Based upon the information presented, all agencies will have sufficient revenue to construct the projects identified in the MTP for the life of the plan. This MTP is fiscally constrained.